Huntington Beach—Sanitary Survey Report

Fall 2011



Cuyahoga County Board of Health • 5550 Venture Drive • Parma, Ohio 44130 • (216) 201-2000 • www.ccbh.net • estaff@ccbh.net

Background

It is no secret that the Great Lakes are one of the nation's most precious natural resources. Local economies have flourished around these bodies of water since the time of the Civil War. Manufacturing and shipping, staples of the Cleveland area, have provided employment opportunities and growth in the region for well over a century. In turn, homes were built and families were raised, creating a demand for fresh water and waste removal systems. In addition to Lake Erie's role as a key resource



for industry and infrastructure, it continues to thrive as a recreation destination. Anyone who has experienced a Northeast Ohio winter knows how to enjoy every last bit of summertime. From Toledo to Ashtabula, the shoreline is dotted with boat launches, marinas, bathing beaches, and parks just inviting you to the water and the beautiful views exclusive to Lake Erie.

As the demand on Lake Erie and the other Great Lakes increased, managing the water quality became imperative. The health and well-being of humans and wildlife are dependent on good water quality. Realizing this fact, then-President Nixon and the federal government decided to take action in 1970 with the creation of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which drafted the Clean Water Act in 1972 to protect surface waters from contamination.

To augment the efforts of the EPA, Congress amended the Clean Water Act with the passage of the Beaches Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health (BEACH) Act in 2000. The Act established uniform criteria for testing, monitoring, and notifying public users of possible coastal recreational water problems. For almost two decades, the Cuyahoga County Board of Health has maintained a beach monitoring program involving sampling and analysis for potential bacterial contamination in near shore waters.

In addition to routine beach monitoring, the Cuyahoga County Board of Health was awarded a grant to conduct Annual Sanitary Surveys at beaches along the Lake Erie coast. A sanitary survey is a method of identifying and investigating the sources of contamination in a body of water and assessing the magnitude of pollution through water sample analysis.

Beach sanitary surveys involve collecting information at the beach, as well as in the surrounding watershed. Information collected at the beach may include: number of birds at the beach, slope of the beach, location and condition of bathrooms, and amount of algae on the beach. Information collected in the watershed may include: land use, location of storm water outfalls, surface water quality, and residential septic tank information.

The following report contains all of the information obtained while conducting the sanitary survey, including the Annual Sanitary Survey field form, photos and GPS coordinates of sampling locations (if applicable), and sample results. Please contact Barry Grisez at (216) 201-2001 ext. 1232 or <u>bgrisez@ccbh.net</u> with any questions or concerns about this project.



Sample Results

As a result of the sanitary survey, four outfalls were identified as potential sources of water pollution. An "outfall" is defined as the point where a storm water conveyance system discharges into a natural body of water such as a lake, river, stream, or wetland. As part of this project, water samples were taken during both dry weather and after rain events. They were then analyzed for bacterial contamination. This analysis was used as an indication of whether these outfalls were contributing to the higher bacteria counts occasionally observed in the Lake. Sampling was conducted weekly, beginning August 17^{th} and concluding on October 12^{th} . The table below provides the *E.coli* concentrations found as a result of sample analysis.

BEACH NAME	OUTFALL LOCATION	GPS (N)	GPS (W)	COLLECTION DATE	E COLI CFU/100mL	RECENT RAINFALL	RAINFALL AMOUNT (INCHES)
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	8/17/2011	86	<72 hours	0.35
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	8/24/2011	1367	<24 hours	0.46
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	8/31/2011	933	>72 hours	0.22
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	9/6/2011	13	<72 hours	1.75
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	9/13/2011	16	<72 hours	0.28
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	9/20/2011	6400	<48 hours	1.06
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	9/26/2011	5200	<24 hours	1.48
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	10/3/2011	6200	<24 hours	0.36
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - East	41.49350	-81.94778	10/12/2011	96800	<24 hours	0.38
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	8/17/2011	17	<72 hours	0.35
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	8/24/2011	37	<24 hours	0.46
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	8/31/2011	1	>72 hours	0.22
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	9/6/2011	8727	<72 hours	1.75
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	9/13/2011	2100	<72 hours	0.28
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	9/20/2011	20	<48 hours	1.06
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	9/26/2011	10	<24 hours	1.48
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	10/3/2011	33	<24 hours	0.36
Huntington	29800 Lake Road - West	41.49350	-81.94778	10/12/2011	2150	<24 hours	0.38
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	8/17/2011	843	<72 hours	0.35
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	8/24/2011	6400	<24 hours	0.46
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	8/31/2011	1233	>72 hours	0.22
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	9/6/2011	1020	<72 hours	1.75
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	9/13/2011	650	<72 hours	0.28
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	9/20/2011	3680	<48 hours	1.06
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	9/26/2011	1000	<24 hours	1.48
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	10/3/2011	3480	<24 hours	0.36
Huntington	Mouth of Porter Creek	41.49037	-81.93051	10/12/2011	2700	<24 hours	0.38
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	8/17/2011	767	<72 hours	0.35
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	8/24/2011	7400	<24 hours	0.46
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	8/31/2011	700	>72 hours	0.22
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	9/6/2011	800	<72 hours	1.75
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	9/13/2011	1140	<72 hours	0.28
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	9/20/2011	13400	<48 hours	1.06
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	9/26/2011	470	<24 hours	1.48
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	10/3/2011	3250	<24 hours	0.36
Huntington	Mouth of Cahoon Creek	41.48997	-81.92493	10/12/2011	44200	<24 hours	0.38

Parking Lot Outfalls

The two parking lot outfalls that discharge onto the beach were also sampled as part of this project. The samples were analyzed for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and Semi-VOCs. There were no contaminants detected in the samples. The laboratory results form is included with this report.

Discussion of Sample Results

To interpret the results, the *E.coli* concentration listed in the previous table is compared to a water quality standard of 576 CFU/100 mL. The threshold of 576 was created by the USEPA for storm water analysis. Results exceeding 576 are an indication of a high bacteria load and will most likely affect the water quality at the beach. The results show that the outfalls located near the beach are primarily influenced by rain. This is common among beaches in Cuyahoga County and other areas where older infrastructure is still present. There are a number of options available to help effectively reduce the amount of pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli* flowing into Lake Erie from these outfalls, including:

Modifying the existing sewer system and separating sanitary waste lines from storm water lines. On average, this is the most expensive and time-consuming solution. However, completely separate conveyance systems ensure that only storm water runoff enters the outfalls and eventually Lake Erie. Keep in mind that storm water runoff can still contain bacteria from other sources; local wildlife (geese), pet waste, agricultural waste, and discharge from impervious surfaces like streets and parking lots.





Creating an overflow tank to capture excess storm water - As opposed to revamping the entire sewer system, these tanks or "tunnels" act as a retention basin by capturing the excess flow and slowly return the water back to the wastewater treatment plant. The Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District has completed projects such as these throughout the area. Currently, they are working on the Euclid Creek Tunnel Project. When completed, it will have the capacity to hold 70 million gallons of combined storm water and wastewater which would otherwise have ended up in Lake Erie.

Green Infrastructure – A relatively new concept, green infrastructure involves creating wetlands, large rain gardens, and other natural "speed bumps" that help slow down the flow of water to Lake Erie by diverting it and allowing for treatment. Similar to the "tunnels" mentioned above, these types of projects create a holding area for excess storm water runoff. The only difference is that these green solutions call for natural treatment of the water through soil absorption as opposed to piping the water back to a treatment plant.



All of these solutions are viable ways to deal with bacteria-laden storm water. By conducting sanitary survey projects such as this, information is obtained on where the bacteria concentrations are of greatest concern allowing for a strategic approach to eliminating these problem areas.

Tips for Homeowners

The management of large quantities of excess rainwater discussed above is rather complex and normally taken on by municipal or regional entities, such as streets/sewer departments and regional sewer districts. However, homeowners can also take a few small steps to help keep Lake Erie clean. Here are a few tips for around the home:

Prevent rain water from infiltrating

sanitary sewers. Just like any other structural component of a house, storm water drain lines periodically need to be repaired or replaced. Rain water from gutters, downspouts, footer drains and lateral lines can infiltrate the sanitary sewer system if cracks or leaks are present. Too much rainwater in sanitary sewers often results in overflows at the sewage treatment plants which spill into area waterways and eventually Lake Erie. Homeowners interested in an evaluation of their drainage system can contact local storm water consulting/engineering firms or their municipal sewer department.



Make sure all household waste goes to the right place. Some houses, especially older homes, were built or remodeled without much consideration given to waste water management. Over the years, homeowners added plumbing

fixtures (bathrooms, laundry/utility sinks, etc.) to their basements or garages. The waste water from these fixtures was connected to the storm water drains since those lines are generally much more accessible than sanitary lines. As a result, untreated sanitary waste ends up in Lake Erie contributing to the buildup of bacterial contamination.

On that note, another consideration for homeowners is the storage and disposal of hazardous household waste. Items such as cooking oil, automobile fluids, lawn products, and unused medications are just a few of the hazardous materials that require special attention when handling.





Maintain septic systems as needed. Believe it or not, there are still approximately 10,000 households in Cuyahoga County that require an individual household sewage treatment system in place of sanitary sewers. Routine maintenance of these systems will not only ensure that the resulting waste water is properly treated but will also extend the life of the system and allow for optimal operation.



Discover your green thumb. If the yard could use a little attention, consider creating rain gardens to help buffer runoff from storm water. Rain gardens are very attractive beds of native vegetation that also serve as a way to prevent excess water from entering the drainage system. Also, though native wildflowers, plants, and shrubs are hardy and drought –resistant, adding a rain barrel to your downspout is a great way to keep your flower beds watered during those dry spells. For those looking to take their projects to the



decorative stone, can also reduce the amount of rainwater entering the

extreme, there are ways to replace a standard, shingled roof with a thatched or vegetative green plants designed to retain a significant amount of rainfall. Other small projects, such as replacing impervious concrete surfaces with pavers or



sewers.



Summary

This Sanitary Survey Project was made possible through grant funding obtained by the Ohio Department of Health from the USEPA Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI). As a result of the survey, it was concluded that rainfall plays a significant role in determining water quality. The sewer systems installed years ago were designed to overflow into Lake Erie during periods of heavy rain. Although this was a great way to help out homeowners and prevent basement floods, these types of systems created a pollution problem in Lake Erie that has been a challenge to resolve. The Clean Water Act, implemented by the USEPA, requires that municipalities correct these sewer overflows within a specified timeframe and there are a number of possible solutions to address this issue that range in cost and effectiveness. A copy of this report will be shared with municipal sewer departments and other interested parties to discuss the results of this project and begin exploring ways to address the sources of pollution.



Useful Links

Cuyahoga County Board of Health 5550 Venture Drive Parma, OH 44130 Phone: (216) 201-2000 Fax: (216) 676-1317 E-mail: estaff@ccbh.net Website: www.ccbh.net	Northeast Ohio Reg 3900 Euclid Ave. Cleveland, OH 4411 Phone: 216-881-660 Website: <u>www.neor</u>	ional Sewer District 5 00 <u>sd.org</u>	Cuyahoga County Solid Waste District 4750 East 131 Street Garfield Heights, OH 44105 Phone: (216) 443-3749 Fax: (216) 478-0014 E-mail: <u>swdinfo@cuyahogacounty.us</u> Website: <u>www.cuyahogaswd.org</u>
United States Department of Agricu Natural Resources Conservation Ser 200 North High Street, Room 522 Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: (614) 255-2472 Website: <u>www.nrcs.usda.gov</u>	ılture rvice - State Office	United States Enviro Region 5 (IL, IN, M 77 West Jackson Bo Chicago, IL 60604-3 Phone: (312) 353-20 Fax: (312) 353-4135 Toll free within Reg Website: <u>www.epa.s</u>	onmental Protection Agency (USEPA) I, MN, OH, WI) ulevard 3507 000 5 ion 5: (800) 621-8431 gov





GREAT LAKES BEACH ANNUAL SANITARY SURVEY

1. BASIC INFORMATION

Name of Beach: HUNTINGTON BEACH	Date(s) of Survey: 8 22 201
Beach ID:	Name of Waterbody: LAKE ERIE
Town/City/County/State: BAY VILLAGE, OH	Number of Routine Surveys Used: 105
Sampling Station(s)/ID:	Name(s) of Surveyor(s): TIM GOURNEY, HEATHER GRISE
STORET Organizational ID:	Surveyor Affiliation: C.C.B.H.

2. DESCRIPTION OF LAND USE IN WATERSHED

Current Land U	se in Watershed							
Туре	Residential	Inc	lustrial	Comn	nercial	Agric	ultural	Other (specify): PAZK
Percentage	80			10	2			10
Development	Des	cribe						
% u	ndeveloped	0						
%	developed	0						
How was land u	use measured:							
Waterbody Use	es: 🔀 Boating	X Fish	ing 🗌] Surfing	🔀 Wii	ndsurfing	Di	ving 🔲 Other (specify)
Are maps of the	e beach area atta	ched?	yes	no		Are m	aps of	the watershed attached? 🔀 yes 🗌 no
List maps and t	heir sources:							
Does the detail	ed map include lo	ocations	of:					4
Sample Po	ints D	🕻 yes 🛛	no	(explain):				
Hydrometri	c Network] yes	no 🖌	(explain):				
Pollutant S	ources	yes [no	(explain):				
Boat Traffic	; [[] yes [no	(explain):	NA			
Marinas	E] yes [no	(explain):	NIA			
Boat docka	ige 🗌] yes [no	(explain):	NIA			
Fishing] yes [no	(explain):				
Bathing/Sw	/imming [🗙 yes 🛛	no(explain):				
Bounding Struc	tures:							
Jetty] yes [⊠no(explain):	ALM			
Groin	\square	🛛 yes 🛛	no(explain):				
Seawa] yes	🗙 no(explain):	NIA			
Other] yes [🔀 no(explain):	NIA		_	
Sanitary Fa	acilities] yes [🗙 no(explain):	NOT	VISIBLE	40	MAP
Restaurant	s/Bars	yes [🗙 no(explain):		1.4	-	
Playground		yes [🔨 no(explain):	AIN			
Parking Lo	t(s)	🔇 yes 🛛 [no(explain):				
Other		yes [🛛 no(explain):				

Erosion/Accretion Measurements

High Watermark Location Identification	Fixed Object Description (e.g., tree, building)	Distance from Fixed Object to High Watermark	Feet or Meters?	Distance between High Watermark Locations	Feet or Meters?
А	STAIRS	120	FT.	A↔B: 268	FT.
В	STORM SPILLWAY	59		B↔C:	
С	STORM DEAIN	48		C↔D: 149	FT.
D (optional)	REFUSE AREA	28	34	D↔E:	
E (optional)	PUMP HOUSE	47	**	E++F: 77	FT.
F	STAIRS	67	k 9.		



Bounding Str	uctures		
Boundin	g Structure	Number	Description or Comment
Jetty			
Groin		4	
Seawall			
Natural forma	ation		
Other (specif	y):		
Other (specify	y):		
Beach Materi	ials/Sediments:		
🔀 Sand	dy 🗌 Mucky	Rocky	Other:
Or, Beach Ma	aterials/Sediments L	ab Analysis (att	ach diagram or photographs of plot locations)
N	Name of Lab Used:		
Date of	Sample Collection:		
Plot ID	Mean Grain Size Diameter	Uniformity Coefficient	Description of Plot Location:
-			
Average			

Describe the results and conclusion of the sediment analysis and potential effects of the sediment distribution at this beach:

Image			Description of Photo
Number	Date/Time	File Name	(Include Pictures of High Watermark Locations and Corresponding Fixed Objects)
Habitat around	beach:		
Dunes	U Wetlands	River/	/stream 🔲 Forest 🔯 Park 🗌 Protected Habitat or Reserve
Other:			

3. WEATHER CONDITIONS

Examine the weather data collected over the prior beach season(s) along with bacteria sampling results. Do the bacteria concentrations at this beach appear to correlate with any of the following?

Do uno baoterra contechti da	iono at tino	bouon upp	our to corrolat	o mar any o	ale lene mig.					_
Rainfall	🔀 yes	no	(explain): 👔	ZUNOFF						
Air Temperature	yes	📈 no	(explain):							
Water Temperature	yes	🔀 no	(explain):							_
Cloud Cover	yes	🔀 no	(explain):						et an II control of the	
Wind Speed	X yes	no	(explain):	POPTER	CREEN -	INFLUENCE	ON	BEACH	AFEA	
Wind Direction	🔀 yes	🗌 no	(explain):	**	10	**	w	45	**	_
Longshore Current	yes	🔀 no	(explain):							_
Wave Height or Intensity	🔀 yes	no	(explain):	TURBIDI	TY					
Other Weather	yes	🔀 no	(explain):		1					<u> </u>



Have any statistical analyses been done to calculate the degree of correlation? Uses is no
NA
Average air temperature during beach season: 68.1 ° C or F Average water temperature during beach season: 73.0 ° C or F
Average wind speed and direction during beach season (e.g., E or 90° at 15 mph):
Typical weather conditions: 🔲 Sunny 🔣 Mostly Sunny 🔲 Partly Cloudy 🗌 Mostly Cloudy 🗌 Overcast 🔄 Rainy
Rainfall total for the beach season (in): 83.69 Average rainfall for all beach seasons (in): 37.9
Does rainfall intensity correlate with bacteria sample results? 🖂 yes 🔲 no Describe:
(e.g., 1 inch or more rain)
Additional Comments/Observations:
RAINFALL DATA OBTAINED FROM USGS RADAR RAIN
4. PHYSICAL BEACH CONDITIONS
Beach length or dimensions (indicate 71, 72, and 73 on a man)
Length (m): 1912 [1 ≤ 83] Width (average in m): 2412
Width 71 (m): 21 0 Width 72 (m): 11 Width 73 (m): 21 -
Local water level variation: feet inches Hydrographic influences (e.g. seiches):
Characterize any longshore or nearshore currents and their potential effects based on bacteria sampling results
Approximate beach slope at swim area: 4 %
Description and date of last beach rehabilitation (example: new sand, nourishment, dredging, etc., physical structures will be described in
Sections 12 and 13):
NA
Comments/Observations:
5. BATHER LOAD (# OF BEACH USERS)
Is bather load measured? 🔲 yes 🕅 no
If yes, describe how beachgoer numbers are calculated (i.e., turnstile, counting at noon, photographs):



Beach Use

		١	Number of People	Per Day Using th	e Beach	
Beachgoer Category	Peak Use for the Season (Daily Use)	Seasonal Average (Daily Use)	Holiday Average (Daily Use)	Weekend Average (Daily Use)	Weekday Average (Daily Use)	Off-Season Average if applicable (Daily Use)
Total people in the water						
Total people out of the water		4				
Total people at the beach						
Breakdown of Activities (if activities	vities were broker	n down on the Ro	outine-Onsite Sani	tary Survey, sum	marize them her	e)
Activity 1:						
Activity 2:			And the second second			
Activity 3:						
Activity 4:						
Activity 5:						
Activity 6:						
Frequency of measurements (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly)	DAILY I IS MUC	IN A.M.	AFTER No	INEEK	END, SH	OLIDAY USAG

Examine bather load data along with sampling results for the past beach season(s). Look at each sampling point. Does bather load appear to correlate with bacteria concentrations at any of these sampling points? Does the amount of people in the water or out of the water correlate with bacteria concentrations? Has a statistical analysis been done? Describe:

NO COPPELATION, NO STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Comments/Observations:

6. BEACH CLEANING

Beach cleaning fre	quency during se	ason: DAIL	1			
Description of clear	nup activities 🔤	surf rake	EVERY M	ORNING	, BY HAND AS NE	EDED -
	Leveling of Sand	Trimming or Removing Vegetation	Removing Debris	Removing Trash	Construction and Maintenance of a Temporary Pathway Directly to Open Water	Other (specify):
Check activities that were done	~	~				
Equipment used (if applicable)						
How often are float	ables found at th	e beach?	X Never	Somet	imes 🔲 Frequently	Very frequently
Known sources of	loatables:					
Types of floatables	found 🗌 als 🗌	Street litter Fishing related	Food-re	lated litter waste Oth	Medical items Ser	wage-related
How often is beach	debris/litter foun	d on the beach?	Never	🔀 Some	times 🔲 Frequently 🗌	Very frequently
Known sources of	debris:					



Street litter Food-related litter	Medical items	Sewage-related	Building materials
Fishing related Household was	te 🗌 Tar 🛛 🗌 Oil/	Grease Other:	
Comments/Observations:			
TYPES OF DEBRIS	NOT DOLU	MENTED ON FIE	LD FORMS

7. INFORMATION ON SAMPLING LOCATION

Description of Sample Points (include beach water and potential pollution sources)

Sample Point Name/ID	Location	Description	Sample Frequency	Time of Day of Sample Collection
HUNT-CENTRAL	(SEE SUMMARY)	BEACH MONITORING PT.	DAILY	A.M.
HUNT-WEST		- 6 G	**	+ 5
CAHOON CREEK	11	MOUTH of CREEK	WEEKLY - 8/17 - 10	10
PORTER CREEK	C (4.X 2.6 X.S	1.4	
29800 LAKERD-E	5 ⁴		5 N	4.5
29800 LAKE RO-W	5		15	0
			4.5	4.5
Description of hydrometric	network [note that this is a	network of monitoring stations that of	collect data such as rainfal	and stream flow]
RADAR RAIN	- RAINFAU	DATA		
HOPKINS AL	EPORT NWS	- WIND SPEED. I	NIND DIRECTION	J. AIR TEM

Comments/Observations:

8. WATER QUALITY SAMPLING Name of laboratory: <u>NE OHIO REGIONAL SEVER DISP</u> istance to laboratory:	19.3 miles	5
is there a sampling and analysis plan? 🔯 yes 🗋 no 🛛 is it adequate? 🔀 yes	ino (explain):	
Are the sampling staff properly trained on sampling techniques, equipment maintenance, and cali	bration procedures	? 🕅 yes 🗌 no
Biological Survey Results:		
Were invasive/nonnative species present? 🗌 yes 🔀 no (describe):		
Have algae blooms been observed during the beach season? (If so, specify duration and algae s	species) ves	CRODADIC MIN
THROUGHOUT THE SEASON, MORE COMMON TOWARD E	FND of SEA	SON, NO SPECIES
Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts in the nearshore water:	None None	Low (1–20%)
Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts in the nearshore water: Moderate (21–50%)	None 🗌	Low (1–20%)
Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts in the nearshore water: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts on the beach:	None	Low (1–20%)
Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts in the nearshore water: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts on the beach: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%)	☐ None None	Low (1–20%)
Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts in the nearshore water: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts on the beach: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) High (> 50%) List types of algae found: No	None	✓ Low (1–20%) □ Low (1–20%)
Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts in the nearshore water: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts on the beach: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) Image: High (> 50%) List types of algae found: No No No No No No No Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) List types of algae found: No No No	None	Low (1–20%)
Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts in the nearshore water: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) Percent of beach season where algae was present in significant amounts on the beach: Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) List types of algae found: No No No Moderate (21–50%) High (> 50%) List types of algae most commonly found: No No No	None	Low (1–20%)



Presence of Wildlife and Domestic Animals

Туре	Degree of Presence (Low, Mod, High)	Does the Pre Appear to Co Bacteria Res No, Don't Kn	esence orrelate with sults? (Yes, ow)	Describ probler	be Further (inclu n)	de whet	her fecal droppings a	are seen and are a	
Geese	L	NO				_			
Gulls	M	NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Dogs		NO							
Other (specify):									
Other (specify):									
Other (specify):	1								
Was a significant Describe types ar	number of dea nd numbers fou	d birds found (nd and possib	on the beach du le causes:	uring be	ach season?	U yes	s 🔀 no		
Was a significant Describe number	number of dea s found and po	d fish found or ssible causes:	the beach dur	ring the	beach season?	☐ ye	s 🟹 no		
Bacteria Samples Do you test for <i>E</i> Do you test for <i>E</i> Do you test for fe List any additiona Do you composit	s Collected Scherichia colla Interococcus? cal coliform? al bacteria teste e any bacteria	yes ☐ yes ☐ yes d and associa samples? ☐	☐ no // ⊠ no // ⊠ no // ted analytical n yes ⊠ no	Analytic: Analytic: Analytic: nethods If ye	al Method Used al Method Used al Method Used : <u>NONE</u> es, explain:	 	TEC		
IN 2011 EXCEEDE	COMPAT NUES W	AS IN	2010	H01	NEVER -	146100	HIGHEST N	NMBER O	f
Do the bacteria r	esults correlate ibe in detail an	to other parar alyses that we	neters, such as re performed o	s water o n the da	quality, weather ta (add addition	flow, ba al lines a	ather load, algae, or v as needed).	wildlife?] yes
WATER Q	NAUTY	RAINFA	u p	2 0	DIT21TAT	AL	ANALYIIC	perform	£D
Water Quality (ch	neck all that are	measured red	gularly)						
Temperature		pH I	Rainfall		Turbidity		Conductivity	Other	
×			X		X		· · · ·		
How does the wa	ater quality data	compare to d	ata from previo	us year	S? TEMP.	RAN	V6E - 68-7	5 °F : 73°	F 112 2011
RAINFAL	L - AMO	NUNT NO	T DOLUM	ENTE	D BY CCI	SH U	INTIL 2011		
TURBID	114 - R	ANGE 1	3.0-21.0	110	4.1 10 2	011			
Do any data corr	elate with bacte	eria sample res	sults? 🔀 yes	s 🗌	no If yes, e	kplain:	RAINFALL	AND, TO	Ac
LESSER	EXTENT	TUR	BIDITY					,	
		1							_



Were there any unusual results, such as extremely high or low values detected, or unusual trends? what was found and any potential causes:	☐ yes	🔀 no If yes, explain
Are water quality annual trend data attached?		
Comments/Observations:		
9. MODELING		
Are models being used? 🛛 🖂 yes 🗌 no		
If yes, list types of models being used and a brief description of the models:		
NOWCAST SYSTEM- DEVELOPED & MAINTAINED BY I	1565.	MODEL USES
VARIABLES SUCH AS RAINFALL, TURBIDITY WAVE I	T. WI	ND SPPED AND

MODEL WAS Comments/Observations:

10. ADVISORIES/CLOSINGS

List any advisories and closings that occurred, whether bacteria levels were high, and any possible reasons for advisory or closing or high bacteria level, such as stormwater runoff, sewage spill, or wildlife on the beach.

WIND DIRECTION TO DREDICT POOR OR GOOD WATER QUALITY.

85% ACCURATE IN 2011.

- 5 28	2	MODEL	RAINFALL
- 63		33	
- 6/13			<i>a</i>
		2	~
- 6/15	1	12	\$5
0-6/21		••	48
9-630	1	14	5°
3-74	1	-	ì.
3-7/14	1		**
9-7121	2		ι.
31-7/24	3	4	5
9-730	1	·.	X.
5-8/17	2		51
	$\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}$	$ \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

l otal number of days beach was closed: 📀

Comments/Observations:

ADDITIONAL 8/22-8/23 - IDAY ADDITIONAL 8/28-8/29 - IDAY ADVISORIES 9/5 IDAY (END OF SEASON)

THE



Type of bource	Level of Concern (H, M, L, or NA)	Latitude*	Longitude*	Describe how this source might contribute to beach pollution and frequency of contribution
/astewater discharges	NIA			
ewage overflows	NIA			
eptic systems	NIA			
ubsurface sewage disposal	NIA			
tormwater outfalls	L		1	RUNOFF, POTENTIAL CROSS-COND
atural outfalls	NA			
AFOs or AFOs	NIA			
ildlife	L			GEESE, GULLS
griculture runoff	NA			,
ban runoff, industrial waste	NA			
arinas, harbors	AIN			
ooring boats	ALA			
omestic animals	Ĺ			DOGS
nsewered areas	NIA			
rosion-prone areas	L			SLOPE LEADING TO BEACH
andfills, open dumps	NIA			
roundwater seepage	NIA			
athhouse leakage	NA			
rains and pipes nearby	L			PARKING LOT OUTFALLS
tream or wetland drainage	M			PORTER CREEK - HILH FREQUE
acant areas	L			RUNDEF- PARK AREA PARKING
ther (specify):				
ther (specify):	-			
ther (specify):				
latitude and longitude are unknown, sh	now the location on the detailed	map and describe i	n the Comments/Obse	ervations section below.
)id you collect bacteria sample	s from any potential pol	lution sources,	such as streams	or outfalls? X yes no (explain):
fyes, describe any analyses p <u>STORM</u> WATER ST <u>CONSISTENTY</u> O	erformed and a summar ANDARD FOR MER THE STAN	wis arce	26 OF 3 CONDITION	SI SAMPLES EXCEEDED THE S NS. PORTER & CAHOON CREED 29800 LAKE NOT AS CONSISTE



,	s been remediated	, or na	ve steps been taken t	o remediate s	sources?	🗙 yes	🗌 no (exp	lain):
SOURCE .	TRACKING	15	CUPPENTLY	BEING	CONDUCTED	AT	PORTER	CREEK -
CCBH (GLEI PROJ	ect	2011 - 2013					

Comments/Observations:

SAMPLING	CONDUCTED	AT	PARKING	LOT	OVTFALLS	AS	PART	of	THE	ANNUAL
SANITARY	SURVEY - A	HAL-	TZED FOR	- 10	C's					

12. DESCRIPTION OF SANITARY FACILITIES

Number or ID	Location	(Good, Fair, or Poor)	(feet)	(Daily, Weekly, Monthly)
2	PARK AREA	6000	NA	DAILY
4	1.5	6000		12

Describe further. Include number of toilets, showers, sinks, etc., and whether these facilities are adequate to support beach use.

(Good, Fair, or Poor)	(feet)	(Daily, Weekly, Monthly)
6000	30	DAILY
	(Good, Fair, or Poor) らつっり	(Good, Fair, or Poor) (feet) らつっり 30

Describe further. Include whether number and location of litterbins is adequate to support beach use.

13. DESCRIPTION OF OTHER FACILITIES

List facilities in the beach area, such as restaurants, bars, playgrounds, parking lots, and dog parks.

Facility Name/Type	Location	ConditionDistance from BeachHow might the(Good, Fair, or Poor)(feet)water control		How might this facility contribute to water quality problems?
CONCESSION STAND		6000		
PLAYGROUND		14		
PARKING LOTS		35		RUNDEF, OUTFALL DISCHARGE
BBQ PITS		51		
,				

Comments/Observations:





Legend



Huntington Beach Area



By Timothy A. Gourley, R.S., M.P.H. Coordinate System: GCS North American 1983 Datum: North American 1983 Units: Degree Path: C:\Documents and Settings\tgourley\My Documents\beach survey 2011\Huntington.mxd





October 20, 2011

Carol Turner North East Ohio Regional Sewer District 4747 E. 49th St. Cuyahoga Heights, Ohio 44125 TEL: (216) 641-6000 FAX: (216) 641-8118

RE: Sanitary Survey

Order No.: 1110325

Dear Carol Turner:

Precision Analytical, Inc. received 3 sample(s) on 10/12/2011 for the analyses presented in the following report.

There were no problems with the analytical events associated with this report unless noted in an attached Case Narrative. Quality control data is within laboratory defined or method specified acceptance limits except if noted. Note that sample results reported relate only the samples as received at the laboratory.

Solid samples are reported in ug/Kg or mg/Kg as received, unless specified in the units as dry weight. Unless otherwise noted, results have not been background or blank corrected.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Certifications: Ohio EPA - 4041; NELAC NY - 11167; NELAC PA - 68-00434; W.Va DEP - 245; KY UST - 69

Sincerely,

Scott Bolam

Scott Bolam QA/QC Manager

Page 1 of 11



Analytical Report

(consolidated) WO#: 1110325 Date Reported: 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011	10:15:00 AM
Project:	Sanitary Survey			
Lab ID:	1110325-001	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	
Client Sample II	Huntington Beach, East Parking Lot Outfall			

Result **RL Oual** Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses SEMI-VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT E625 Analyst: MIM SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene ND 10.0 µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 1 1,2-Dichlorobenzene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 1,3-Dichlorobenzene ND 10.0 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM µg/L 1 1.4-Dichlorobenzene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 2,4-Dichlorophenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 2,4-Dimethylphenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 10.0 2,4-Dinitrophenol µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 2,4-Dinitrotoluene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 2,6-Dinitrotoluene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 2-Chloronaphthalene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 2-Chlorophenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 2-Nitrophenol 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM µg/L ND 10.0 1 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether ND 10.0 1 µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 4-Nitrophenol 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Acenaphthene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 10.0 Acenaphthylene µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Anthracene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Benz(a)anthracene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Benzidine ND 50.0 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM µg/L Benzo(a)pyrene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Benzo(b)fluoranthene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Benzo(g,h,i)perylene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 10.0 1 Benzo(k)fluoranthene µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 10.0 Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether ND 10.0 1 µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 1 Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate 10.0 µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM µg/L Butyl benzyl phthalate ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level **Qualifiers:**

MDL Method Detection Limit

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL) Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Manual Integration used to determine area response М

Ν Tentatively identified compounds

PL Permit Limit

В

DF Dilution Factor

Analyte detected below quantitation limits



Analytical Report

(consolidated) WO#: 1110325 Date Reported: 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011 10):15:00 AM
Project:	Sanitary Survey			
Lab ID:	1110325-001	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	
Client Sample ID	Huntington Beach, East Parking Lot Outfall			

Result **RL Oual** Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses SEMI-VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT E625 Analyst: MIM SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS ND 10.0 µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Chrysene 1 Dibenz(a,h)anthracene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 10.0 Diethyl phthalate ND µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Dimethyl phthalate ND 10.0 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM µg/L 1 Di-n-butyl phthalate ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND Di-n-octyl phthalate 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Dioxin Screen ND 0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Fluoranthene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Fluorene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 10.0 Hexachlorobenzene ND µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Hexachlorobutadiene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Hexachloroethane ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Isophorone ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Naphthalene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Nitrobenzene ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM µg/L N-Nitrosodimethylamine ND 10.0 1 µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine ND 10.0 1 µg/L 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM ND N-Nitrosodiphenylamine 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Pentachlorophenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Phenanthrene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Phenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Pyrene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 97.8 10-123 %REC 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl 53.8 43-116 %REC 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Surr: 2-Fluorophenol 30.3 21-100 %REC 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Surr: Nitrobenzene-d5 70.4 35-114 %REC 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Surr: Phenol-d6 30.1 10-100 %REC 1 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM Surr: Terphenyl-d14 105 33-141 %REC 10/18/2011 3:18:00 PM 1 **VOLATILES. PRIORITY POLLUTANT** E624 Analyst: AC **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS** ND 5.00 10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM 1,1,1-Trichloroethane µg/L 1 Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level */X В

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank **Qualifiers:** DF Н Dilution Factor Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Analyte detected below quantitation limits Manual Integration used to determine area response М MDL Method Detection Limit Ν Tentatively identified compounds ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit PL Permit Limit RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL) Spike outside acceptance limits S



Analytical Report

 (consolidated)

 WO#:
 1110325

 Date Reported:
 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011 10:15:00 A	Μ
Project:	Sanitary Survey			
Lab ID:	1110325-001	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	
Client Sample ID	Huntington Beach, East Parking Lot Outfall			

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS				E624	Analyst: AC
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
2-Hexanone	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
2-Nitropropane	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Acetone	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Acrolein	ND	100	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Acrylonitrile	ND	100	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Benzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Bromochloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Bromodichloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Bromoform	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Bromomethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Carbon disulfide	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Chlorobenzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Chloroethane	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Chloroform	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Chloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Dibromochloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	5.00	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Methyl ethyl ketone	ND	50.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Methyl methacrylate	ND	25.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM

Qualifiers: */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

MDL Method Detection Limit

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL)

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

M Manual Integration used to determine area response

N Tentatively identified compounds

PL Permit Limit

В

DF Dilution Factor



Analytical Report

 (consolidated)

 WO#:
 1110325

 Date Reported:
 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011 10:15:00 AM	M
Project:	Sanitary Survey			
Lab ID:	1110325-001	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	
Client Sample ID	Huntington Beach, East Parking Lot Outfall			

Analyses	Result	RL Qual Units		DF	Date Analyzed
VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			E62	4	Analyst: AC
Methylene chloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Pentachloroethane	ND	25.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Propionitrile	ND	25.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Styrene	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Toluene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Trichloroethylene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Vinyl acetate	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Vinyl chloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.1	86-115	%REC	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	95.0	86-118	%REC	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	101	88-110	%REC	1	10/17/2011 3:22:00 PM

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level DF Dilution Factor
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- MDL Method Detection Limit
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL)

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- N Tentatively identified compounds
- PL Permit Limit
- S Spike outside acceptance limits



Analytical Report

(consolidated) WO#: 1110325 Date Reported: 10/20/2011

Date: 10/12/2011 10:20:00 AM

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011
Project:	Sanitary Survey		
Lab ID:	1110325-002	Matrix:	AQUEOUS
Client Sample ID	Huntington Beach, West Parking Lot Outfall		

Result **RL Oual** Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses SEMI-VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT E625 Analyst: MIM SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene ND 10.0 µg/L 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 1 1,2-Dichlorobenzene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 1,3-Dichlorobenzene ND 10.0 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L 1 1.4-Dichlorobenzene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 2,4-Dichlorophenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 2,4-Dimethylphenol 11.3 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 10.0 2,4-Dinitrophenol µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 2,4-Dinitrotoluene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 2,6-Dinitrotoluene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 2-Chloronaphthalene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 2-Chlorophenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 2-Nitrophenol 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L ND 10.0 1 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol µg/L 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether ND 10.0 1 µg/L 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 4-Nitrophenol 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Acenaphthene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 10.0 Acenaphthylene µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Anthracene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Benz(a)anthracene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Benzidine ND 50.0 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L Benzo(a)pyrene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Benzo(b)fluoranthene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Benzo(g,h,i)perylene ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L ND 10.0 1 Benzo(k)fluoranthene µg/L 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 10.0 Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether ND 10.0 1 µg/L 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 1 Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate 10.0 µg/L 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L Butyl benzyl phthalate ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level **Qualifiers:**

MDL Method Detection Limit

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL) Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Manual Integration used to determine area response М

Ν Tentatively identified compounds

PL Permit Limit

В

DF Dilution Factor

Analyte detected below quantitation limits



Analytical Report

(consolidated) WO#: **1110325** Date Reported: **10/20/2011**

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011 10:20:00 A	M
Project:	Sanitary Survey			
Lab ID:	1110325-002	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	
Client Sample ID	Huntington Beach, West Parking Lot Outfall			

Result **RL Oual** Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses E625 Analyst: MIM SEMI-VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS Chrysene ND 10.0 µg/L 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 1 10.0 Dibenz(a,h)anthracene ND µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Diethyl phthalate ND 10.0 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L 1 ND Dimethyl phthalate 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Di-n-butyl phthalate ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Di-n-octyl phthalate ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Dioxin Screen ND 0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Fluoranthene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Fluorene ND 10.0 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L 1 Hexachlorobenzene ND 10.0 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L 1 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM ND 10.0 Hexachloroethane µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Isophorone ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Naphthalene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Nitrobenzene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 10.0 N-Nitrosodimethylamine ND 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L N-Nitrosodiphenylamine ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Pentachlorophenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Phenanthrene ND 10.0 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM µg/L Phenol ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Pyrene ND 10.0 µg/L 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 96.8 10-123 %REC 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl 53.9 43-116 %REC 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Surr: 2-Fluorophenol 45.8 21-100 %REC 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Surr: Nitrobenzene-d5 73.8 35-114 %REC 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM %REC Surr: Phenol-d6 29.6 10-100 1 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM Surr: Terphenyl-d14 104 33-141 %REC 10/18/2011 4:00:00 PM 1 **VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT** E624 Analyst: AC **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS** 1,1,1-Trichloroethane ND 5.00 10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM µg/L 1

Oualifiers:	*/X	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
C	DF	Dilution Factor	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	М	Manual Integration used to determine area response
	MDL	Method Detection Limit	Ν	Tentatively identified compounds
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	PL	Permit Limit
	RL	Reporting Detection Limit (PQL)	S	Spike outside acceptance limits



Analytical Report

 (consolidated)

 WO#:
 1110325

 Date Reported:
 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011 10:20:00 AM
Project:	Sanitary Survey		
Lab ID:	1110325-002	Matrix:	AQUEOUS
Client Sample ID	Huntington Beach, West Parking Lot Outfall		

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS				E624	Analyst: AC
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND	50.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
2-Hexanone	ND	10.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
2-Nitropropane	ND	50.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	20.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Acetone	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Acrolein	ND	100	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Acrylonitrile	ND	100	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Benzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Bromochloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Bromodichloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Bromoform	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Bromomethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Carbon disulfide	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Chlorobenzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Chloroethane	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Chloroform	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Chloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Dibromochloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	5.00	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Methyl ethyl ketone	ND	50.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Methyl methacrylate	ND	25.0	μg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM

Qualifiers: */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

MDL Method Detection Limit

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL)

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

M Manual Integration used to determine area response

N Tentatively identified compounds

PL Permit Limit

В

DF Dilution Factor



Analytical Report

 (consolidated)

 WO#:
 1110325

 Date Reported:
 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011 10	:20:00 AM
Project:	Sanitary Survey			
Lab ID:	1110325-002	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	
Client Sample ID	Huntington Beach, West Parking Lot Outfall			

Analyses	Result	lt RL Qual Units		DF	Date Analyzed
VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			E62	4	Analyst: AC
Methylene chloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Pentachloroethane	ND	25.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Propionitrile	ND	25.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Styrene	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Toluene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Trichloroethylene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Vinyl acetate	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Vinyl chloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.4	86-115	%REC	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	96.7	86-118	%REC	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	100	88-110	%REC	1	10/17/2011 2:57:00 PM

Qualifiers:

DF Dilution Factor

*/X

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- MDL Method Detection Limit
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL)

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- N Tentatively identified compounds
- PL Permit Limit



Analytical Report

 (consolidated)

 WO#:
 1110325

 Date Reported:
 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011
Project:	Sanitary Survey		
Lab ID:	1110325-003	Matrix:	AQUEOUS
Client Sample ID	Trip Blank		

Analyses	Result	RL Qua	d Units	DF	Date Analyzed
VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS				E624	Analyst: AC
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
2-Hexanone	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
2-Nitropropane	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Acetone	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Acrolein	ND	100	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Acrylonitrile	ND	100	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Benzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Bromochloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Bromodichloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Bromoform	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Bromomethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Carbon disulfide	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Chlorobenzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Chloroethane	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Chloroform	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Chloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Dibromochloromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Methyl ethyl ketone	ND	50.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM

Qualifiers: */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

MDL Method Detection Limit

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL)

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

M Manual Integration used to determine area response

N Tentatively identified compounds

PL Permit Limit

DF Dilution Factor



Analytical Report

 (consolidated)

 WO#:
 1110325

 Date Reported:
 10/20/2011

CLIENT:	North East Ohio Regional Sewer District	Collection Date:	10/12/2011
Project:	Sanitary Survey		
Lab ID:	1110325-003	Matrix:	AQUEOUS
Client Sample ID	Trip Blank		

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
VOLATILES, PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			E62	4	Analyst: AC
Methyl methacrylate	ND	25.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Methylene chloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Pentachloroethane	ND	25.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Propionitrile	ND	25.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Styrene	ND	20.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Toluene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Trichloroethylene	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Vinyl acetate	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Vinyl chloride	ND	5.00	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	10.0	µg/L	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.5	86-115	%REC	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	96.6	86-118	%REC	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	100	88-110	%REC	1	10/17/2011 2:33:00 PM

Qualifiers:

DF Dilution Factor

*/X

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- MDL Method Detection Limit
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit (PQL)

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- N Tentatively identified compounds

PL Permit Limit

RUSH:	□ Yes	لم الا	NO				
Date & Time Received: 10117111	<u>1530</u> r	eceived I	зу: <u>Jl(</u>	<u> </u>			
Date & Time Logged In: 10/13/11 1	<u>0:93</u> r	.ogged In	Ву:С	er-			
Date & Time Reviewed: 10 13 11	031 F	Reviewed	Ву:	S-1	entropy and the Area is from	understater van positionske souder souder souder	and the of the state of the sta
Carrier Name: 🛛 PAI 🗋 UPS 🗋 FedE>	(🗌 Clier	nt 🗆 Ot	her/Trac	king #			
Samples Analyzed In House? 🛛 Yes 🗆	No	Subbed	то				
Is Chain Of Custody Present?			🗹 Yes		🗆 No		
Is Chain Of Custody Properly Filled Out?			2 Yes		🗆 No		
Does Chain Of Custody Match Sample Lab	oels?		🗆 Yes				
Are Samples Past Hold Time?			Ö Yes		1 No		
Are Samples In Proper Containers?	@ Yes		🗆 No	Intact?	P Yes		
No. Of Containers? 5 Glass	Plastic 🗆	Baggie [Micro 🗆 Te	edlar 🗆	Other	
with the line has the depart Present?	v er	es 🖽 🕅	σ	MSDS Prov	ided l	🗆 Yes 🛛	No
When Applicable, is Headspace Present:	and the second state of th			and block the state of the stat	na manana tatan kanalah manana ta	eren ander stel eren die der Kanter.	
Matrix: Aqueous Liquid Sludge	e 🗆 Solid	🗆 0il 🗆 I	Drinking '	water 🗆 So	il 🗆 Gas	s 🗌 Other	
Matrix: Aqueous Liquid Sludge	e 🗆 Solid DrYes	□ 0il □ I	Drinking '	Water 🗆 So	il 🗌 Gas	s 🗌 Other	
Matrix: Aqueous Liquid Sludge On Ice? () °C Are Samples Preserved?	e 🗆 Solid 🗗 Yes 🗆 Yes	01 01	Drinking ' D No D No	water 🗆 So		s 🗌 Other	
When Applicable, is Headspace Present: Matrix: Aqueous Liquid Sludge On Ice?	e 🗆 Solid I Yes	0101	Drinking \ Drinking \ No	Water 🗆 So	ii 🗆 Gas	s 🗋 Other	
When Applicable, is Headspace Present: Matrix: Particle Matrix: Particle Aqueous Liquid Sludge On Ice? On Ice? <td>e □ Solid □/Yes □ Yes</td> <td></td> <td>Drinking No No No</td> <td>Water 🗆 So</td> <td>II 🗆 Gas</td> <td>s 🗌 Other</td> <td></td>	e □ Solid □/Yes □ Yes		Drinking No No No	Water 🗆 So	II 🗆 Gas	s 🗌 Other	
When Applicable, is Headspace Present: Matrix: Matrix: Aqueous Liquid Sludge On Ice? () •C Are Samples Preserved? pH Results: Metals Hardness HN03 COD NH3 Phenol TOO	e 🗆 Solid PYes PYes CTk	□ 0il □ t CN	Drinking \ No No No NaOl Phos	Water 🗆 So H ; No2I	II □ Gas □ NA ☑ NA	s 🗌 Other	
When Applicable, is Headspace Present: Matrix: Matrix: Aqueous Liquid Sludge On Ice? () °C Are Samples Preserved? pH Results: Metals Hardness HN03 COD NH3 Phenol TOO Sulfide	e 🗆 Solid I	□ oil □ t cn (N/TON Other	Drinking V No No NaOl Phos	Water 🗆 So H ; No2I	II □ Cas	s 🗌 Other	
When Applicable, is Headspace Present: Matrix: Matrix: Aqueous Liquid Sludge On Ice? () °C Are Samples Preserved? pH Results: Metals Hardness HN03 COD NH3 Phenol TOO Sulfide In NaOH & ZnAcetate Field Data: PH	e 🗆 Solid I	□ 011 □ 1 CN (N/TON Other Low □ C	Drinking No No No NaOl Phos	Water 🗆 So H : No2I :dor 🗆 Turb	il 🗆 Gas	s 🗌 Other H2SO4 Other	







Huntington Reservation

This reservation is one of the oldest reservations in the Park District. It still contains many unusual botanical specimens brought there from Europe by John Huntington, the previous land owner and reservation namesake.







BLUE WAVE BEACHES

The Blue Wave Program is the first national, environmental certification for beaches. The Blue Wave certification process is designed to help maintain robust, healthy, and vibrant beaches. Huntington Beach is the first beach in Ohio, and on Lake Erie, to receive this designation from Clean Beaches Coalition.

Proud to recognize this designation and sponsor Huntington Beach





Cleveland Metroparks























11/02/2011

JIII INI IN

